G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 29 26

Serial Nos 16

1926.

(mc)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for Nov 26

SUBJECT

Government Grant for Cely Improvement works. Bangalore

16 To. The Municipal Commr, through the President, Cely mc cc Bangalore & the Comptroller, through Financial Secty No. L 2929-30/S 11 26

Lays down the future policy regarding the Government Grant for Cely Improvement works, Bangalore

JB 27/12/26

WD [illegible]

REPLY

(To be written on this margin.)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE

Dated 8th October *192* 6

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
8 OCT 1926
R.S. No. M 382

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
15910
12 OCT

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
15 OCT 1926
Reg. No. 28464

The file relating to the issue of letter No L 2125/M1 29-26-9 dated 16th September 1926 to the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, regarding the acquisition of certain properties in front of the New Market, may be kindly submitted for the Dewan's perusal.

By order,

Private Secretary to Dewan.

very early
15/10/26

The Secretary to Government,
Development Departments,
with compliments

The enquiry file is submitted to Council

15/10/26

3 The file required is submitted for perusal

15/10/26

Dewan)

The Mal Commissioner
is requested to attend
to the enquiry
[illegible]

DEWAN'S MINUTE

FILE No 192

5 The acquisition of these properties seem to me to be necessary in order to improve the portion of the City in front of the New Market As regards the cost of acquisition however, I think that it is unnecessary to ask the Municipality to send up individual proposals for acquisition or improvement work to the Government for sanction and for Government to sanction contribution in each case The annual grant which the Government are prepared to make to the Municipal Council may once for all be credited to the Municipality, as is being done in the case of the Government's grant to the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board The Municipal Council will, thereafter be at liberty to carry out all improvement works without reference to Government, except in cases in which estimates exceed their powers of sanction I shall be glad if a Government Order to the above effect is put up immediately I want that the Order should issue before the 4th November next

29/10 CRR 30 10 26

6 Draft is submitted for approval

30 10 26

7 Perused) 30/10.

8. A draft order has my sanction approval

CRR 2 11 26

Dewan Home Finance

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 629 29 of 192 9.

Serial No

9) I am afraid the arrangement proposed in the draft order will be financially difficult to work in actual practice.

10) The order imposes no commitment on Govt to make any specific provision in the Budget towards contribution to the Bangalore city W.S. for any improvements, or gives no idea as to the time when the annual grant to the W.S. should be regulated in framing the annual estimate and as to the period for which such and such improvements are undertaken by Govt.

11) The charges proposed to be made by the scheme are made under which Govt contribution is payable to the W.S. for improvement works. This involves a change of financial policy.

In the case of the Mysore city improvement Trust which is a statutory institution constituted for a particular purpose by Govt. Town improvements or planning schemes, the initiative of the Trust is controlled by Govt and the expenditure is regulated by the provisions of law.

12) The Contribution of the Bangalore W.S. will have to be made with reference to specific objects of improvement which is unable to finance from its own funds & for which grants from general Revenues are recoverable under the codal rules.

13) In such an instance - The Council thinks the Bangalore city is classed as a Presidency work and such projects should ordinarily be

More—Cross Sheet

Approved

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 29 of 192

Serial No

financial Canons be framed by the Municipality from its own resources supplemented by borrowings if necessary

4th If any absolute payment of the Govt contribution be made to the Mcy as proposed in the draft Govt have no control over the disbursement of such grant and the proposal virtually amounts to a general subvention to the Municipality And under present conditions it would be difficult to justify before the depositaries such an appropriation of the general tax payers money -

5th With the foregoing observations I would suggest the following solution -

1 The provision made in the current years budget as well as the amounts remaining unspent out of the allotments of previous year - kept in the Suspense account - may as a special case be transferred to the Mcy as absolute grant-in-aid of all claims against Govt- for Contribution works and the accounts of all such works be closed as proposed in para 2 of the Draft The Mcy may render an account of the expenditure out of the said grant for the information of Govt -

2 For the future a detailed programme of improvement works which the Mcy proposes to undertake during a period of 3 or 5 years may be called for And the Contribution which Govt may consider it reasonable to make towards such Govt Revenues be previously settled on examining the individual Schemes & with reference to the Statutes other conditions if any - This may be considered when framing the next years budget - —

h
2 11 26

Serial No

16 The Financial Secretary objects to the draft order on the following grounds - (1) That it gives no idea as to the lines on which the annual grants should be regulated or the period for which they should be continued (2) The grants to the Municipality can only be made with reference to specific objects of improvement, not ordinarily classed as productive (3) Absolute payment of contribution is likely to eliminate Government control over the destination of such grants He suggests that a detailed programme of improvements for the next 3 or 5 years may be called for and the yearly - contribution provisionally settled

17 I beg to note on the points raised by him serially (1) It is always open to Government, in sanctioning contribution to indicate how it should be utilized, and it is perhaps an advantage if there is no commitment as to the period over which the contribution has to be paid (2) Although the contribution may not be payable with reference to specific objects, the contributions annually sanctioned will certainly have reference to the programmes of improvement before the Municipality (3) I do not think that Government control need be eliminated by an absolute grant Estimates over Rs 5000 have to come up before Government for sanction and in the case of minor estimates, the Municipality may well be trusted to do what is

WD 61252—GPS—87,500—1-9-26
App. F III—2j

6

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 29 of 1926

Serial No

If I think that the present system of sanctioning yearly contributions over an extended programme of improvements has not been satisfactory either to Government or to Municipality, and it does not deserve to be continued.

Dewan) 3.11.26

Appd as mentioned by Mr. Monk Agnew

JM 3.11.26

I have suggested a few alterations in the draft. I understand the object of the order is to allow facilities to the Municipality to carry out improvement works at its option. No freedom may be allowed. It is necessary that the Municipality to obtain the approval of Govt to improvement programmes proposed by it and Contributions claimable Govt only be in respect of outlay actually incurred on works included in the Sanctioned programmes and the alterations suggested in the draft make these points clear.

Monk 5.11.26

Govt

Dept appd. as amended by Mr. Monk & Mr. as directed above

JM 5.11.26

Issue at once Govt 5.11.26

...WAN'S OFFICE
Received on
3 NOV. 1926
F. & No.

WD 6.229—GPB—37 500—1 4 2
App F III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 29 of 1926

Serial No

Rec'd 8/11

SECRETARIAL SECRETARIAT
8 NOV. 1926
H/274

SECRETARY
NOV. 1926

B

Please see H Secretary's note 2 11 26 and the subsequent notings & order, of Dewan dt 3 11 26 Necessary this be commund 2

Bra 8/11 HK 8/11/26

Z

Bra 8/11

H 88 Yes h 8 11 26

B

Le, The Cuphelle H 3557 dated 9 11 26

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—82 12-81

Proceedings of the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
- - -

Read-

F Para 1 of G O. No 4660-1/M1 87-17-24 dated the 16th February 1918 directing that, pending sanction to detailed estimates of the several City Improvement works, Bangalore and the settlement of the programme of annual expenditure on such works, the contribution of two-thirds of the cost of City Improvement Works be adjusted half yearly by the Comptroller on the basis of the audited expenditure on items, of which a share is payable by Government

g 2 G O No 6317-20/M1 87-17-32 dated the 9th May 1918 approving of a revised programme of City Improvement Works, Bangalore, for the next three years and directing the payment of the Government contribution in respect of each of the works in the programme, so long as no addition is demanded to the annual grant promised by Government in their order of the 13th August 1915

g 3 This office letter No L 2125/M1 29-26-9 dated the 16th September 1926 stating among other things that Government regret that it is not possible to pay any contribution towards the cost estimated at Rs 33,350 of the acquisition of the properties situated in the triangular bit of land in front of the new General Market in the Bangalore City, and that it should be met wholly from Municipal Funds and requesting that the particular head in the Municipal budget from which the

9

can be met, might be indicated for the orddrs of Govt

Order No L2929-30/mw29-26-11
Dated 5th Nov 1926

Government consider that the acquisition of these properties is necessary in order to improve a portion of the City in front of the New Market They note that works of improvement on the programme of the Municipal Council are held up in consequence of - protracted correspondence with the Municipality as to the amount of contribution for each work payable by Government and the items in respect of which such grants should be made Government consider that it is unnecessary to sanction contributions in respect of each individual improvement work The grants which the Government may make from time to time for improvement works in the Municipality may be credited once for all to the accounts of the Municipality as is done in the case of Government grants to the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

The Municipal Council will hereafter be at liberty to carry out all improvement works under the rules in force, and will only submit such estimates to Government as exceed their usual powers of sanction The provision on account of Municipal contribution in the current year's budget as well as the amounts remaining unpaid out of allotments of previous years will, as a special case, be transferred to the Municipality as an absolute grant in adjustment of all claims against Government for contribution works, and the accounts of all such works will be closed as soon as possible No further grants on separate estimates by way of contribution will, in future,

be made

Secretary to Government,
Dev Departments

3/11/26

3/11/26

To

The Ml Commissioner, Bangalore City, through the President, City Municipal Council

The Comptroller to Govt through Ml Sectt

11

S. O. 1

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 2929 30 -Ml 29 26 16 dated 5th November 1926

City Improvement Works Bangalore

Lays down the future policy regarding the Government grant for----

READ—

Para 1 of Government Order No 4660 1— Ml 87 17 24 dated the 18th February 1918 directing that pending sanction to detailed estimates of the several City Improvement Works Bangalore and the settlement of the programme of annual expenditure on such works the contribution of two third of the cost of City Improvement Works be adjusted half yearly by the Comptroller on the basis of the audited expenditure on items of which a share is payable by Government

2 Government Order No 6517 20—Ml 87 17 22 dated the 9th May 1918 approving of a revised programme of City Improvement Works Bangalore for the next three years and directing the payment of the Government contribution in respect of each of the work in the programme so long as no addition is demanded to the annual grant promised by Government in their Order of the 11th August 1915

3 This office letter No L 2125—Ml 29 26 9 dated the 16th September 1926 stating among other things that Government regret that it is not possible to pay any contribution toward the cost estimated at Rs 33 350 of the acquisition of the properties situated in the triangular bit of land in front of the new General Market in the Bangalore City and that it should be met wholly from Municipal Funds and requesting that the particular head in the Municipal budget from which the amount can be met might be indicated for the orders of Government

ORDER No L 2929 30—ML 29 26 16 DATED 5TH NOVEMBER 1926

Government consider that the acquisition of the properties is necessary in order to improve a portion of the City in front of the New Market They note that works of improvement on the programme of the Municipal Council are held up in consequence of protracted correspondence with the Municipality as to the amount of contribution for each work payable by Government and the item in respect of which such grants should be made Government consider that it is unnecessary to sanction contributions in respect of each individual improvement work The grant which the Government may make from time to time for improvement work in the Municipality will be fixed with reference to such work proposed in the annual budget of the Municipality and credited to it subject to the submission of an account showing the work or works for which the grants are to be used

The Municipal Council will hereafter be at liberty to carry out all improvement works under the rules in force and will only submit such estimates to Government as exceed their usual power of sanction The provision on account of Municipal contribution in the current year's budget as well as the amounts remaining unpaid out of allotments of previous years will as a special case be transferred to the Municipality in adjustment of all claims against Government for contribution works and the accounts of all such works will be closed as soon as possible No further grants on separate estimates by way of contribution will in future be made

C RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB,
Secretary to Government
Development Departments

To—The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City through the President City Municipal Council
The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat

—P. S. R.